

$e^{-z}$  之帕德近似函數之討論On the Padé Approximants to  $e^{-z}$ 

倪維城 Wei-Chen Ni

Department of Applied Mathematics, N. C. T. U.

(Received October 11, 1976)

ABSTRACT — In this paper, we establish a lower bound as well as an upper bound for the error of the  $(n-\mu, n)$ -th Padé approximant to  $e^{-z}$ . Moreover, we find a condition under which  $\{R_{n-\mu, n}(x)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  can not converge geometrically to  $e^{-z}$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

## 1. Introduction

It has been proved in [2, Prop. 2.4] that there exist positive constants  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that for all  $n > 1$ ,  $\frac{A_1}{n}$  and  $\frac{A_2 \ln n}{n}$  serve as lower and upper bounds respectively for the error term  $\eta_{n-1, n}$  of the  $(n-1, n)$ -th Padé approximant to  $e^{-x}$ ; i.e.,

$$\frac{A_1}{n} < \eta_{n-1, n} < \frac{A_2 \ln n}{n} \text{ for all } n > 1.$$

In this paper we shall improve and generalize the above result by showing that for  $\nu$  fixed and  $0 < \nu < n$ , there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$\frac{A}{n^\nu} < \eta_{n-\nu, n} < \frac{B}{n^\nu}.$$

Saff and Varga have also established in [2] a sufficient condition for the geometric convergence of a sequence of Padé approximants to  $e^{-x}$  on  $[0, +\infty)$  in the uniform norm. We, however, shall give a sufficient condition under which the Padé approximants  $\{R_{n-\mu, n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  cannot converge geometrically in the uniform norm to  $e^{-x}$ .

## II. Results and Discussion

Let  $\pi_m$  denote the set of all complex polynomials in the variable  $z$  with degree at most  $m$ , and let  $\pi_{\nu, n}$  denote the set of all complex rational functions

$R_{\nu,n}(z)$  of the form  $R_{\nu,n}(z) = \frac{Q_{\nu,n}(z)}{P_{\nu,n}(z)}$ , where  $Q_{\nu,n}(z) \in \pi_{\nu}$ ,  $P_{\nu,n} \in \pi_n$  and  $P_{\nu,n}(0) = 1$ . For any function  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  analytic in a neighborhood of  $z=0$ , and for any nonnegative integers  $\nu$  and  $n$ , the  $(\nu,n)$ -th Padé approximant to  $f(z)$  is defined as the element  $R_{\nu,n} \in \pi_{\nu,n}$  for which

$$f(z) - R_{\nu,n}(z) = \mathcal{O}(|z|^m) \quad (1)$$

as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$  is valid for the largest integer  $m$ . In [1], it is known that for  $f(z) = e^{-z}$ , its  $(\nu,n)$ -th Padé approximant  $R_{\nu,n}(z) = \frac{Q_{\nu,n}(z)}{P_{\nu,n}(z)}$  is given explicitly by

$$Q_{\nu,n}(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\nu} \frac{(\nu+n-k)! \nu! (-z)^k}{(\nu+n)! k! (\nu-k)!} \quad (2)$$

and

$$P_{\nu,n}(z) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(\nu+n-k)! n! z^k}{(\nu+n)! k! (n-k)!} \quad (3)$$

We shall denote  $\sup_{0 < x < +\infty} |R_{n-\mu(n),n}(x) - e^{-x}|$  by  $\eta_{n-\mu(n),n}$ . Our object is to find a lower bound for  $\eta_{n-\mu(n),n}$  with the condition  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\mu(n))^2}{n} = 0$  imposed on  $\mu(n)$ .

We first state a few lemmas.

LEMMA 1. For  $n \geq m$ ,  $\prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - \frac{j^2}{n^2}) = \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \cdot \frac{(n+m-1)! n}{(n-m)!}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (1 - \frac{j^2}{n^2}) &= \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (n^2 - j^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} (n-j)(n+j) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2m}} (n-m+1) \dots (n-1) \cdot n \cdot n \cdot (n+1) \dots (n+m-1) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2m}} \frac{(n+m-1)! n}{(n-m)!} \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2. For  $n \geq m+1$ ,  $\prod_{j=1}^m (1 - \frac{j^2}{n^2}) = \frac{(n+m)!}{n^{2m} \cdot n \cdot (n-1-m)!}$ .

Proof: A similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 1 yields the desired result.

LEMMA 3. If  $m$  is a fixed non-negative integer, and  $\mu(n)$  is finite, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n-m)! (n+m)}{(n-\mu(n)-m)! n^{\mu(n)+1}} = 1.$$

*Proof:* This follows directly from the fact that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n-j}{n} = 1$  for any fixed  $j$  and  $\mu(n)$  finite.

LEMMA 4. If  $m$  is a fixed positive integer,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{n} = 0$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(n) = \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n-m)!(n+m)}{(n-\mu(n)-m)!n^{\mu(n)+1}} = 1$ .

*Proof:* It is not difficult to derive the following inequality:

$$\frac{n+m}{n} \geq \frac{(n-m)!(n+m)}{(n-\mu(n)-m)!n^{\mu(n)+1}} \geq \left(1 - \frac{(\mu(n)+m)\mu(n)}{n}\right)^{\mu(n)}$$

Now since  $m$  is a fixed positive integer and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{n} = 0$ , by basic properties of logarithm, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 - \frac{(\mu(n)+m)\mu(n)}{n}\right)^{\mu(n)} = 1.$$

Together with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+m}{n} = 1$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n-m)!(n+m)}{(n-\mu(n)-m)!n^{\mu(n)+1}} = 1$  as desired.

It is straight forward to establish the following:

LEMMA 5. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{n} = 0$ , then given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an integer  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-\mu(n)+m)!n^{\mu(n)-1}}{(n+m-1)!m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{n}\right)^m - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \right| < \epsilon.$$

Similarly, we have

LEMMA 6. If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 0$ , then given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{m=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)!(n+m)}{(n-\mu(n)-m)!n^{\mu(n)+1}m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{n}\right)^m e^{-1} \right| < \epsilon.$$

From (3),

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n-\mu(n), n}(x) &= \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(2n-\mu(n)-m)!n!}{(2n-\mu(n))!m!(n-m)!} x^m \\ &= \frac{n!}{(2n-\mu(n))!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(2n-\mu(n)-m)!}{m!(n-m)!} x^m. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k=n-m$ , the above equation becomes

$$P_{n-\mu(n), n}(x) = \frac{n! x^n}{(2n-\mu(n))!} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-\mu(n)+m)!}{(n-m)!m! x^m} \quad (4)$$



Similarly, we have from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{n-\mu(n), n}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^k \frac{(2n-\mu(n)-k)! (n-\mu(n))!}{(2n-\mu(n))! k! (n-\mu(n)-k)!} x^k \\
 &= \frac{(n-\mu(n))!}{(2n-\mu(n))!} x^{n-\mu(n)} \sum_{m=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^m \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-\mu(n)-m)! m! x^m} \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $m=n-k-\mu(n)$ . Thus if we divide (5) by (4), we obtain

$$\frac{Q_{n-\mu(n), n}(x)}{P_{n-\mu(n), n}(x)} = \frac{(n-\mu(n))! \sum_{m=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^m \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-\mu(n)-m)! m! x^m}}{n! x^{\mu(n)} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-\mu(n)+m)!}{(n-m)! m! x^m}}$$

Now, replacing  $x$  by  $n^2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{Q_{n-\mu(n), n}(n^2)}{P_{n-\mu(n), n}(n^2)} &= \frac{(n-\mu(n))! \sum_{m=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^m \frac{(n+m)!}{(n-\mu(n)-m)! m! n^{2m}}}{n! n^{2\mu(n)} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-\mu(n)+m)!}{(n-m)! m! n^{2m}}} \\
 &= \frac{(n-\mu(n))! \sum_{m=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)! (n+m) \cdot n \cdot (n+m-1)!}{(n-\mu(n)-m)! m! n \cdot (n-m)! n^{2m}}}{n! n^{2\mu(n)} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-\mu(n)+m)! \cdot (n+m-1)!}{(n+m-1)! m! n \cdot (n-m)! n^{2m}}} \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemmas 1 and 2 permits us to write (6) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{Q_{n-\mu(n), n}(n^2)}{P_{n-\mu(n), n}(n^2)} &= \frac{(n-\mu(n))! \sum_{m=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)! (n+m)}{(n-\mu(n)-m)! \cdot n \cdot m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{n}\right)}{n! n^{2\mu(n)} \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-\mu(n)+m)!}{(n+m-1)! m! n} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{n}\right)} \\
 &= \frac{(n-\mu(n))! \sum_{m=0}^{n-\mu(n)} (-1)^m \frac{(n-m)! (n+m)}{(n-\mu(n)-m)! n^{\mu(n)+1}} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{n}\right)}{n! \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(n-\mu(n)+m)! n^{\mu(n)-1}}{(n+m-1)! m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{n}\right)}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 5 and 6, given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $N$  such that for all  $n > N$ ,

$$\frac{Q_{n-\mu(n), n}(n^2)}{P_{n-\mu(n), n}(n^2)} \geq \frac{(n-\mu(n))! \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \frac{1}{m!} - \epsilon \right)}{n! \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} + \epsilon \right)}$$

Hence given an arbitrary  $\epsilon$  with  $\frac{1}{2e} > \epsilon > 0$ ,

$$\left| \left| \frac{Q_{n-\mu(n),n}(x)}{P_{n-\mu(n),n}(x)} \right| \right|_{L_\infty[0,+\infty)} > \frac{(n-\mu(n))!}{4n!e^2}$$

as  $n > N$ , providing  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{n} = 0$ . We have proved the following Theorem.

**THEOREM 7.** For any  $\mu(n)$  with  $0 \leq \mu(n) \leq n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{n} = 0$ ,  $\eta_{n-\mu(n),n} \geq \frac{(n-\mu(n))!}{4n!e^2}$  for all a sufficiently large.

**COROLLARY 8.** If  $\mu$  is a fixed non-negative integer, then

$$\eta_{n-\mu,n} \geq \frac{A}{n^\mu}$$

where  $A$  is a positive constant.

*Proof:* This is an immediate consequence of Thm. 7.

Combining Corollary 8 and [3; Thm. 1], we have established the following result.

**THEOREM 9.** For any  $\mu$  fixed with  $0 \leq \mu \leq n$ , there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$\frac{A}{n^\mu} \leq \eta_{n-\mu,n} \leq \frac{B}{n^\mu}.$$

**THEOREM 10.** If  $0 \leq \mu(n) \leq n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(n)^2}{n} = 0$ , then the sequence of Padé approximants,  $\{R_{n-\mu(n),n}(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ , cannot converge geometrically to  $e^{-x}$  on  $[0, +\infty)$ ; i.e.,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\eta_{n-\mu(n),n})^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1.$$

*Proof:* From [3, Thm. 1], we know that  $\eta_{n-\mu(n),n} < 1$ . Hence it is enough to show that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\eta_{n-\mu(n),n})^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 1.$$

By Theorem 7, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{(n-\mu(n))!}{n! e^3} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 1.$$

Application of Stirling's formula directly gives the desired result.

### References

1. O. Perron, "Die Lehre von den Kettenbrücken II", Teubner, Leipzig; 1929.
2. E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga, "Convergence of Padé Approximants to  $e^{-z}$  on Unbounded Sets"

- J. Approximation Theory, 13, 470-488, (1975).
- 3. E. B. Saff, R. S. Varga and W. C. Ni, "Geometric convergence of Rational Approximations to  $e^{-z}$  in Infinite Sectors" Numer. Mathematik, 26, 211-225 (1976).