

Comment on “Some exact solutions of convection-diffusion and diffusion equations” by J. R. Philip

Chih-Tse Wang and Hund-Der Yeh

Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Chiao-Tung University, Hsinchu, Taiwan

In a recent paper, Philip [1994] proposed the solutions of the advective-dispersion equation (ADE) in which the dispersion coefficient (or diffusivity) is proportional to P^n , with P the Peclet number, for divergently steady radial flow in porous media. Exact solutions for instantaneous and continuous point source were developed when $n = 2$ in two- and three-dimensional radial flow fields. With the case of $n = 1$ in two-dimensional radial coordinates, Philip [1994] pointed out that the ADE used by Hoopes and Harleman [1967] is not correct. Consequently, the equation used by Tang and Babu [1979] and Hsieh [1986] is also erroneous. This assertion aroused our curiosity. After a careful check and mathematical derivations, we find that when the molecular diffusion is neglected the ADE used by Hoopes and Harleman [1967] is exactly the same as the one used by Philip [1994].

If the effect of molecular diffusion is neglected, the ADE in the radially diverging flow field given by Hoopes and Harleman [1967, p. 3599, equation (15)] may be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} + \frac{A}{r} \frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = \alpha \frac{A}{r} \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial r^2}, \quad (1)$$

where C is the concentration of tracer; t is the time; r is the radial distance; A is a constant equal to $Q/(2\pi\phi b)$; Q is the injection rate of tracer; ϕ is the porosity; b is the aquifer thickness; and α is called dispersivity and is a constant.

The ADE in the two-dimensional radial flow field given by Philip [1994, p. 3545, equation (1)]

$$\frac{\partial \theta_*}{\partial t_*} + \frac{v_1}{r_*} \frac{\partial \theta_*}{\partial r_*} = \frac{1}{r_*} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_*} \left(Dr_* \frac{\partial \theta_*}{\partial r_*} \right), \quad (2)$$

where θ_* is the dimensionless concentration; t_* is the physical time; r_* is the physical radial coordinate; and D is the diffusivity. The term v_1/r_* , where v_1 is a constant, represents the velocity V . The diffusivity is usually considered to vary with the first power of velocity; thus D can be written as $D = \alpha V$. Note that the term Dr_* on the right side of (2) may be expressed as $Dr_* = \alpha V r_* = \alpha v_1$ and, thus, is a constant. Therefore Dr_* can be moved out of the parentheses on the right side of (2). Accordingly, (2) can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial \theta_*}{\partial t_*} + \frac{v_1}{r_*} \frac{\partial \theta_*}{\partial r_*} = \frac{\alpha v_1}{r_*} \frac{\partial^2 \theta_*}{\partial r_*^2}. \quad (3)$$

If one lets $v_1 = A$, drops the asterisk subscripts in (3), and normalizes the concentration of (1), then equations (1) and (3) are essentially identical. Let C_0 represent the concentration of

the injected tracer. Three dimensionless variables are introduced as

$$\theta = C/C_0 \quad (4)$$

$$\tau = \frac{1}{2} (At/\alpha^2) \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{4} (r/\alpha)^2 \quad (6)$$

On the basis of (5) and (6), the following partial derivatives may be derived:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} = \frac{A}{2\alpha^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{r}{2\alpha^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} = \frac{1}{2\alpha^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{r^2}{4\alpha^4} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \sigma^2} \quad (9)$$

After substituting (7)–(9) into (1), the result divided by C_0 gives the dimensionless form of (1) as

$$\frac{A}{2\alpha^2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} + \frac{A}{2\alpha^2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{A}{2\alpha r} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \sigma} + \frac{Ar}{4\alpha^3} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \sigma^2}. \quad (10)$$

Dividing (10) by $A/(2\alpha^2)$ and letting $r/\alpha = 2\sigma^{1/2}$ from (6) yields

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \tau} + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{-1/2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \sigma} + \sigma^{1/2} \frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \left(\sigma^{1/2} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \sigma} \right). \quad (11)$$

It is worth noting that (11) is equal to Philip's [1994] ADE in dimensionless form when $n = 1$ [Philip, 1994, p. 3546, equation (6)].

In conclusion, we have shown that the ADE of Hoopes and Harleman [1967], either in dimensional form when the molecular diffusion is neglected or in dimensionless form, is equivalent to the one given in Philip's [1994] paper when $n = 1$. Accordingly, the ADE in radial two-dimensional flow used by both Tang and Babu [1979] and Hsieh [1986] is not in error.

References

- Hoopes, J. A., and D. R. F. Harleman, Dispersion in radial flow from a recharge well, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 72, 3595–3607, 1967.
- Hsieh, P. A., A new formula for the analytical solution of the radial dispersion problem, *Water Resour. Res.*, 22, 1597–1605, 1986.
- Philip, J. R., Some exact solutions of convection-diffusion and diffusion equations, *Water Resour. Res.*, 30, 3545–3551, 1994.
- Tang, D. H., and D. K. Babu, Analytical solution of a velocity dependent dispersion problem, *Water Resour. Res.*, 15, 1471–1478, 1979.

C.-T. Wang and H.-D. Yeh, Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Chiao-Tung University, 75, Po-Ai Street, Hsinchu 30039, Taiwan.

(Received April 18, 1995; accepted August 30, 1995.)