



## 3-bounded property in a triangle-free distance-regular graph<sup>☆</sup>

Yeh-jong Pan<sup>1</sup>, Chih-wen Weng<sup>1</sup>

Department of Applied Mathematics, National Chiao Tung University, 1001 Ta Hsueh Road, Hsinchu, Taiwan 300, ROC

Received 11 May 2006; accepted 15 October 2007

Available online 19 November 2007

### Abstract

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $D \geq 3$ . Assume the intersection numbers  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_2 \neq 0$ . We show that  $\Gamma$  is 3-bounded in the sense of the article [C. Weng,  $D$ -bounded distance-regular graphs, European Journal of Combinatorics 18 (1997) 211–229].

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$  and distance function  $\partial$ . Recall that a sequence  $x, y, z$  of vertices of  $\Gamma$  is *geodetic* whenever

$$\partial(x, y) + \partial(y, z) = \partial(x, z).$$

A sequence  $x, y, z$  of vertices of  $\Gamma$  is *weak-geodetic* whenever

$$\partial(x, y) + \partial(y, z) \leq \partial(x, z) + 1.$$

**Definition 1.1.** A subset  $\Omega \subseteq X$  is *weak-geodetically closed* if for any weak-geodetic sequence  $x, y, z$  of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$x, z \in \Omega \implies y \in \Omega.$$

<sup>☆</sup> Research partially supported by the NSC grant 95-2115-M-009-002 of Taiwan ROC.

E-mail addresses: [yjp.9222803@nctu.edu.tw](mailto:yjp.9222803@nctu.edu.tw) (Y.-j. Pan), [weng@math.nctu.edu.tw](mailto:weng@math.nctu.edu.tw) (C.-w. Weng).

<sup>1</sup> Fax: +886 3 5724679.

Weak-geodetically closed subgraphs are called *strongly closed subgraphs* in [8]. We refer the reader to [7,3,5,9,12,4] for information on weak-geodetically closed subgraphs.

**Definition 1.2.**  $\Gamma$  is said to be *i*-bounded whenever for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) \leq i$ , there is a regular weak-geodetically closed subgraph of diameter  $\partial(x, y)$  which contains  $x, y$ .

The properties of *D*-bounded distance-regular graphs were studied in [13], and these properties were used in the classification of classical distance-regular graphs of negative type [14]. Before stating our main result we give one more definition.

By a *parallelogram of length i*, we mean a 4-tuple  $xyzw$  consisting of vertices of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\partial(x, y) = \partial(z, w) = 1$ ,  $\partial(x, z) = i$ , and  $\partial(x, w) = \partial(y, z) = i - 1$ .

It was proved that if  $a_1 = 0, a_2 \neq 0$  and  $\Gamma$  contains no parallelograms of length 3, then  $\Gamma$  is 2-bounded [12, Proposition 6.7], [9, Theorem 1.1]. The following theorem is our main result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $D \geq 3$ . Assume the intersection numbers  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_2 \neq 0$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is 3-bounded.

Note that if  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  with  $D \geq 3, a_1 = 0$  and  $a_2 \neq 0$ , then  $\Gamma$  contains no parallelograms of any length. See [6, Theorem 1.1] or Theorem 3.3 in this article.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we review some definitions, basic concepts and some previous results concerning distance-regular graphs. See Bannai and Ito [1] or Terwilliger [10] for more background information.

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a finite undirected, connected graph without loops or multiple edges with vertex set  $X$ , edge set  $R$ , distance function  $\partial$ , and diameter  $D := \max\{\partial(x, y) \mid x, y \in X\}$ . By a *pentagon*, we mean a 5-tuple  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5$  consisting of vertices in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\partial(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  and  $\partial(x_5, x_1) = 1$ .

For a vertex  $x \in X$  and an integer  $0 \leq i \leq D$ , set  $\Gamma_i(x) := \{z \in X \mid \partial(x, z) = i\}$ . The *valency*  $k(x)$  of a vertex  $x \in X$  is the cardinality of  $\Gamma_1(x)$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is called *regular* (with *valency*  $k$ ) if each vertex in  $X$  has valency  $k$ .

A graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *distance-regular* whenever for all integers  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ , and all vertices  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h = |\{z \in X \mid z \in \Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)\}|$$

is independent of  $x, y$ . The constants  $p_{ij}^h$  are known as the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ .

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  be a distance-regular graph. For two vertices  $x, y \in X$ , with  $\partial(x, y) = i$ , set

$$B(x, y) := \Gamma_1(x) \cap \Gamma_{i+1}(y),$$

$$C(x, y) := \Gamma_1(x) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(y),$$

$$A(x, y) := \Gamma_1(x) \cap \Gamma_i(y).$$

Note that

$$|B(x, y)| = p_{1 \ i+1}^i,$$

$$|C(x, y)| = p_{1 \ i-1}^i,$$

$$|A(x, y)| = p_{1 \ i}^i$$

are independent of  $x, y$ .

For convenience, set  $c_i := p_{1\ i-1}^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ ,  $a_i := p_{1\ i}^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ ,  $b_i := p_{1\ i+1}^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D-1$  and put  $b_D := 0$ ,  $c_0 := 0$ ,  $k := b_0$ . Note that  $k$  is the valency of  $\Gamma$ . It is immediate from the definition of  $p_{ij}^h$  that  $b_i \neq 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D-1$  and  $c_i \neq 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ . Moreover

$$k = a_i + b_i + c_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq D. \quad (2.1)$$

From now on we assume that  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  is distance-regular with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Recall that a sequence  $x, y, z$  of vertices of  $\Gamma$  is weak-geodetic whenever

$$\partial(x, y) + \partial(y, z) \leq \partial(x, z) + 1.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be a subset of  $X$ , and pick any vertex  $x \in \Omega$ .  $\Omega$  is said to be *weak-geodetically closed with respect to  $x$*  whenever, for all  $z \in \Omega$  and for all  $y \in X$ ,

$$x, y, z \text{ are weak-geodetic} \implies y \in \Omega. \quad (2.2)$$

Note that  $\Omega$  is weak-geodetically closed with respect to a vertex  $x \in \Omega$  if and only if

$$C(z, x) \subseteq \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad A(z, x) \subseteq \Omega \quad \text{for all } z \in \Omega$$

[12, Lemma 2.3]. Also  $\Omega$  is weak-geodetically closed if and only if for any vertex  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  is weak-geodetically closed with respect to  $x$ . We list a few results which will be used later in this paper.

**Theorem 2.2** ([12, Theorem 4.6]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a regular subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with valency  $\gamma$  and set  $d := \min\{i \mid \gamma \leq c_i + a_i\}$ . Then the following (i), (ii) are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\Omega$  is weak-geodetically closed with respect to at least one vertex  $x \in \Omega$ .
- (ii)  $\Omega$  is weak-geodetically closed with diameter  $d$ .

In this case  $\gamma = c_d + a_d$ .

**Lemma 2.3** ([9, Lemma 2.6]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter 2, and let  $x$  be a vertex of  $\Gamma$ . Suppose  $a_2 \neq 0$ . Then the subgraph induced on  $\Gamma_2(x)$  is connected of diameter at most 3.*

**Theorem 2.4** ([12, Proposition 6.7], [9, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Suppose  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_2 \neq 0$  and  $\Gamma$  contains no parallelograms of length 3. Then  $\Gamma$  is 2-bounded.*

**Theorem 2.5** ([12, Lemma 6.9], [9, Lemma 4.1]). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Suppose  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $a_2 \neq 0$  and  $\Gamma$  contains no parallelograms of any length. Let  $x$  be a vertex of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $\Omega$  be a weak-geodetically closed subgraph of  $\Gamma$  with diameter 2. Suppose that there exists an integer  $i$  and a vertex  $u \in \Omega \cap \Gamma_{i-1}(x)$ , and suppose  $\Omega \cap \Gamma_{i+1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Then for all  $t \in \Omega$ , we have  $\partial(x, t) = i - 1 + \partial(u, t)$ .*

### 3. Q-polynomial properties

Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the real number field. Let  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$  denote the algebra of all the matrices over  $\mathbb{R}$  with the rows and columns indexed by the elements of  $X$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $A_i$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$  defined by the rule

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i; \\ 0, & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x, y \in X.$$

We call  $A_i$  the *distance matrices* of  $\Gamma$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= I, \\ A_i^t &= A_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq D \text{ where } A_i^t \text{ means the transpose of } A_i, \\ A_i A_j &= \sum_{h=0}^D p_{ij}^h A_h \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq D. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $M$  denote the subspace of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$  spanned by  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$ . Then  $M$  is a commutative subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ , and is known as the *Bose–Mesner algebra* of  $\Gamma$ . By [2, p. 59, 64],  $M$  has a second basis  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= |X|^{-1} J \quad \text{where } J = \text{all } 1\text{'s matrix,} \\ E_i E_j &= \delta_{ij} E_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq D, \\ E_0 + E_1 + \dots + E_D &= I, \\ E_i^t &= E_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq D. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

The  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  are known as the *primitive idempotents* of  $\Gamma$ , and  $E_0$  is known as the *trivial idempotent*. Let  $E$  denote any primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . Then we have

$$E = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i^* A_i \tag{3.2}$$

for some  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^* \in \mathbb{R}$ , called the *dual eigenvalues* associated with  $E$ .

Set  $V = \mathbb{R}^{|X|}$  (column vectors), and view the coordinates of  $V$  as being indexed by  $X$ . Then the Bose–Mesner algebra  $M$  acts on  $V$  by left multiplication. We call  $V$  the *standard module* of  $\Gamma$ . For each vertex  $x \in X$ , set

$$\hat{x} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^t, \tag{3.3}$$

where the 1 is in coordinate  $x$ . Also, let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denote the dot product

$$\langle u, v \rangle = u^t v \quad \text{for } u, v \in V. \tag{3.4}$$

Then referring to the primitive idempotent  $E$  in (3.2), we compute from (3.1)–(3.4) that for  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\langle E\hat{x}, E\hat{y} \rangle = |X|^{-1} \theta_i^*, \tag{3.5}$$

where  $i = \partial(x, y)$ .

Let  $\circ$  denote the entrywise multiplication in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq D,$$

so  $M$  is closed under  $\circ$ . Thus there exists  $q_{ij}^k \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $0 \leq i, j, k \leq D$  such that

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^D q_{ij}^k E_k \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j \leq D.$$

$\Gamma$  is said to be  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to the given ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  of the primitive idempotents if for all integers  $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ ,  $q_{ij}^h = 0$  (resp.  $q_{ij}^h \neq 0$ ) whenever one of  $h, i, j$  is greater than (resp. equal to) the sum of the other two. Let  $E$  denote any primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is said to be  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$  whenever there exists an ordering  $E_0, E_1 = E, \dots, E_D$  of the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , with respect to which  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. If  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$ , then the associated dual eigenvalues are distinct [10, p. 384].

The following theorem about the  $Q$ -polynomial property will be used in this paper.

**Theorem 3.1** ([11, Theorem 3.3]). Assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to a primitive idempotent  $E$ , and let  $\theta_0^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$  denote the corresponding dual eigenvalues. Then for all integers  $1 \leq h \leq D$ ,  $0 \leq i, j \leq D$  and for all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $\partial(x, y) = h$ ,

$$\sum_{\substack{z \in X \\ \partial(x,z)=i \\ \partial(y,z)=j}} E \hat{z} - \sum_{\substack{z' \in X \\ \partial(x,z')=j \\ \partial(y,z')=i}} E \hat{z}' = p_{ij}^h \frac{\theta_i^* - \theta_j^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_h^*} (E \hat{x} - E \hat{y}). \tag{3.6}$$

$\Gamma$  is said to have classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  whenever the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$c_i = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \left( 1 + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq D, \tag{3.7}$$

$$b_i = \left( \begin{bmatrix} D \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left( \beta - \alpha \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq D, \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} := 1 + b + b^2 + \dots + b^{i-1}. \tag{3.9}$$

The following theorem characterizes the distance-regular graphs with classical parameters in an algebraic way.

**Theorem 3.2** ([11, Theorem 4.2]). Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ . Choose  $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, -1\}$ , and let  $\begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  be as in (3.9). Then the following (i)–(ii) are equivalent.

(i)  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with associated dual eigenvalues  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$  satisfying

$$\theta_i^* - \theta_0^* = (\theta_1^* - \theta_0^*) \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} b^{1-i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq D. \tag{3.10}$$

(ii)  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  for some real constants  $\alpha, \beta$ .

The following theorem characterizes the distance-regular graphs with classical parameters and  $a_1 = 0, a_2 \neq 0$  in a combinatorial way.

**Theorem 3.3** ([6, Theorem 1.1]). *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$  and intersection numbers  $a_1 = 0, a_2 \neq 0$ . Then the following (i)–(iii) are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and contains no parallelograms of length 3.
- (ii)  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and contains no parallelograms of any length  $i$  for  $3 \leq i \leq D$ .
- (iii)  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  for some real constants  $b, \alpha, \beta$  with  $b < -1$ .

**4. Proof of main theorem**

Assume  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  is a distance-regular graph with classical parameters  $(D, b, \alpha, \beta)$  and  $D \geq 3$ . Suppose the intersection numbers  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_2 \neq 0$ . Then  $\Gamma$  contains no parallelograms of any length by Theorem 3.3. We first give a definition.

**Definition 4.1.** For any vertex  $x \in X$  and any subset  $C \subseteq X$ , define

$$[x, C] := \{v \in X \mid \text{there exists } z \in C, \text{ such that } \partial(x, v) + \partial(v, z) = \partial(x, z)\}.$$

Throughout this section, fix two vertices  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = 3$ . Set

$$C := \{z \in \Gamma_3(x) \mid B(x, y) = B(x, z)\}$$

and

$$\Delta = [x, C]. \tag{4.1}$$

We shall prove that  $\Delta$  is a regular weak-geodetically closed subgraph of diameter 3. Note that the diameter of  $\Delta$  is at least 3. If  $D = 3$  then  $C = \Gamma_3(x)$  and  $\Delta = \Gamma$  is clearly a regular weak-geodetically closed graph. Thereafter we assume  $D \geq 4$ . By referring to Theorem 2.2, we shall prove that  $\Delta$  is weak-geodetically closed with respect to  $x$ , and the subgraph induced on  $\Delta$  is regular with valency  $a_3 + c_3$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For all adjacent vertices  $z, z' \in \Gamma_i(x)$ , where  $i \leq D$ , we have  $B(x, z) = B(x, z')$ .*

**Proof.** By symmetry, it suffices to show that  $B(x, z) \subseteq B(x, z')$ . Suppose there exists  $w \in B(x, z) \setminus B(x, z')$ . Then  $\partial(w, z') \neq i + 1$ . Note that  $\partial(w, z') \leq \partial(w, x) + \partial(x, z') = 1 + i$  and  $\partial(w, z') \geq \partial(w, z) - \partial(z, z') = i$ . This implies  $\partial(w, z') = i$  and  $wxz'z$  forms a parallelogram of length  $i + 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We know that  $\Gamma$  is 2-bounded by Theorem 2.4. For two vertices  $z, s$  in  $\Gamma$  with  $\partial(z, s) = 2$ , let  $\Omega(z, s)$  denote the regular weak-geodetically closed subgraph containing  $z, s$  of diameter 2.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose  $stuzw$  is a pentagon in  $\Gamma$ , where  $s, u \in \Gamma_3(x)$  and  $z \in \Gamma_2(x)$ . Pick  $v \in B(x, u)$ . Then  $\partial(v, s) \neq 2$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $\partial(v, s) = 2$ . Note  $\partial(z, s) \neq 1$ , since  $a_1 = 0$ . Note that  $z, w, s, t, u \in \Omega(z, s)$ . Then  $s \in \Omega(z, s) \cap \Gamma_2(v)$  and  $u \in \Omega(z, s) \cap \Gamma_4(v) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\partial(v, z) = \partial(v, s) + \partial(s, z) = 2 + 2 = 4$  by Theorem 2.5. A contradiction occurs since  $\partial(v, x) = 1$  and  $\partial(x, z) = 2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Suppose  $stuzw$  is a pentagon in  $\Gamma$ , where  $s, u \in \Gamma_3(x)$  and  $z \in \Gamma_2(x)$ . Then  $B(x, s) = B(x, u)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $|B(x, s)| = |B(x, u)| = b_3$ , it suffices to show  $B(x, u) \subseteq B(x, s)$ . By Lemma 4.3,

$$B(x, u) \subseteq \Gamma_3(s) \cup \Gamma_4(s).$$

Suppose

$$|B(x, u) \cap \Gamma_3(s)| = m,$$

$$|B(x, u) \cap \Gamma_4(s)| = n.$$

Then

$$m + n = b_3. \tag{4.2}$$

By Theorem 3.1,

$$\sum_{r \in B(x, u)} E\hat{r} - \sum_{r' \in B(u, x)} E\hat{r}' = b_3 \frac{\theta_1^* - \theta_4^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_3^*} (E\hat{x} - E\hat{u}). \tag{4.3}$$

Observe  $B(u, x) \subseteq \Gamma_3(s)$ ; otherwise  $\Omega(u, s) \cap B(u, x) \neq \emptyset$  and this leads  $\partial(x, s) = 4$  by Theorem 2.5, a contradiction. Taking the inner product of  $s$  with both sides of (4.3) and evaluating the result using (3.5), we have

$$m\theta_3^* + n\theta_4^* - b_3\theta_3^* = b_3 \frac{\theta_1^* - \theta_4^*}{\theta_0^* - \theta_3^*} (\theta_3^* - \theta_2^*). \tag{4.4}$$

Solve (4.2) and (4.4) to obtain

$$n = b_3 \frac{(\theta_2^* - \theta_3^*)(\theta_1^* - \theta_4^*)}{(\theta_3^* - \theta_4^*)(\theta_0^* - \theta_3^*)}. \tag{4.5}$$

Simplifying (4.5) using (3.10), we have  $n = b_3$  and then  $m = 0$  by (4.2). This implies  $B(x, u) \subseteq B(x, s)$  and ends the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $z, u \in \Delta$ . Suppose  $stuzw$  is a pentagon in  $\Gamma$ , where  $z, w \in \Gamma_2(x)$  and  $u \in \Gamma_3(x)$ . Then  $w \in \Delta$ .

**Proof.** Observe  $\Omega(z, s) \cap \Gamma_1(x) = \emptyset$  and  $\Omega(z, s) \cap \Gamma_4(x) = \emptyset$  by Theorem 2.5. Hence  $s, t \in \Gamma_2(x) \cup \Gamma_3(x)$ . Observe  $s \in \Gamma_3(x)$ ; otherwise  $w, s \in \Omega(x, z)$ , and this implies  $u \in \Omega(x, z)$ , a contradiction to the diameter of  $\Omega(x, z)$  being 2. Hence  $B(x, s) = B(x, u)$  by Lemma 4.4. Then  $s \in C$  and  $w \in \Delta$  by construction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** The subgraph  $\Delta$  is weak-geodetically closed with respect to  $x$ .

**Proof.** Clearly  $C(z, x) \subseteq \Delta$  for any  $z \in \Delta$ . It suffices to show  $A(z, x) \subseteq \Delta$  for any  $z \in \Delta$ . Suppose  $z \in \Delta$ . We discuss this case by case in the following. The case  $\partial(x, z) = 1$  is trivial since  $a_1 = 0$ . For the case  $\partial(x, z) = 3$ , we have  $B(x, y) = B(x, z) = B(x, w)$  for any  $w \in A(z, x)$  by definition of  $\Delta$  and Lemma 4.2. This implies  $A(z, x) \subseteq \Delta$  by the construction of  $\Delta$ . For the remaining case  $\partial(x, z) = 2$ , fix  $w \in A(z, x)$  and we shall prove  $w \in \Delta$ . There exists  $u \in C$  such that  $z \in C(u, x)$ . Observe that  $\partial(w, u) = 2$  since  $a_1 = 0$ . Choose  $s \in A(w, u)$  and  $t \in C(u, s)$ . Then  $stuzw$  is a pentagon in  $\Gamma$ . The result comes immediately by Lemma 4.5.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** By Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 4.6, it suffices to show that  $\Delta$  defined in (4.1) is regular with valency  $a_3 + c_3$ . Clearly from the construction and Lemma 4.6,  $|\Gamma_1(z) \cap \Delta| =$

$a_3 + c_3$  for any  $z \in C$ . First we show that  $|\Gamma_1(x) \cap \Delta| = a_3 + c_3$ . Note that  $y \in \Delta \cap \Gamma_3(x)$  by construction of  $\Delta$ . For any  $z \in C(x, y) \cup A(x, y)$ ,

$$\partial(x, z) + \partial(z, y) \leq \partial(x, y) + 1.$$

This implies  $z \in \Delta$  by [Definition 2.1](#) and [Lemma 4.6](#). Hence  $C(x, y) \cup A(x, y) \subseteq \Delta$ . Suppose  $B(x, y) \cap \Delta \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $t \in B(x, y) \cap \Delta$ . Then there exists  $y' \in \Gamma_3(x) \cap \Delta$  such that  $t \in C(x, y')$ . Note that  $B(x, y) = B(x, y')$ . This leads to a contradiction to  $t \in C(x, y')$ . Hence  $B(x, y) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma_1(x) \cap \Delta = C(x, y) \cup A(x, y)$ . Then we have  $|\Gamma_1(x) \cap \Delta| = a_3 + c_3$ .

Since each vertex in  $\Delta$  appears in a sequence of vertices  $x = x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3$  in  $\Delta$ , where  $\partial(x, x_j) = j$  and  $\partial(x_{j-1}, x_j) = 1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 3$ , it suffices to show

$$|\Gamma_1(x_i) \cap \Delta| = a_3 + c_3 \tag{4.6}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ . For each integer  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ , we show

$$|\Gamma_1(x_i) \setminus \Delta| \leq |\Gamma_1(x_{i+1}) \setminus \Delta|$$

by the 2-way counting of the number of the pairs  $(s, z)$  for  $s \in \Gamma_1(x_i) \setminus \Delta, z \in \Gamma_1(x_{i+1}) \setminus \Delta$  and  $\partial(s, z) = 2$ . For a fixed  $z \in \Gamma_1(x_{i+1}) \setminus \Delta$ , we have  $\partial(x, z) = i + 2$  by [Lemma 4.6](#), so  $\partial(x_i, z) = 2$  and  $s \in A(x_i, z)$ . Hence the number of such pairs  $(s, z)$  is at most  $|\Gamma_1(x_{i+1}) \setminus \Delta|a_2$ .

On the other hand, we show that this number is exactly  $|\Gamma_1(x_i) \setminus \Delta|a_2$ . Fix an  $s \in \Gamma_1(x_i) \setminus \Delta$ . Observe  $\partial(x, s) = i + 1$  by [Lemma 4.6](#). Observe  $\partial(x_{i+1}, s) = 2$  since  $a_1 = 0$ . Pick any  $z \in A(x_{i+1}, s)$ . We shall prove  $z \notin \Delta$ . Suppose  $z \in \Delta$  in the arguments below and choose any  $w \in C(s, z)$ .

Case 1:  $i = 0$ .

Observe  $\partial(x, z) = 2, \partial(x, s) = 1$  and  $\partial(x, w) = 2$ . This will force  $s \in \Delta$  by [Lemma 4.6](#), a contradiction.

Case 2:  $i = 1$ .

Observe  $\partial(x, z) = 3$ ; otherwise  $z \in \Omega(x, x_2)$  and this implies  $s \in \Omega(x, x_2) \subseteq \Delta$  by [Lemmas 2.3](#) and [4.6](#), a contradiction. This also implies  $s \in \Delta$  by [Definition 2.1](#) and [Lemma 4.6](#), a contradiction.

Case 3:  $i = 2$ .

Observe  $\partial(x, z) = 2$  or  $3$ . Suppose  $\partial(x, z) = 2$ . Then  $B(x, x_3) = B(x, s)$  by [Lemma 4.4](#) (with  $x_3 = u, x_2 = t$ ). Hence  $s \in \Delta$ , a contradiction. So  $z \in \Gamma_3(x)$ . Note that  $\partial(x, w) \neq 2, 3$ ; otherwise  $s \in \Delta$  by [Lemmas 4.4](#) and [4.6](#) respectively. Hence  $\partial(x, w) = 4$ . Then by applying  $\Omega = \Omega(x_2, w)$  in [Theorem 2.5](#) we have  $\partial(x_2, z) = 1$ , a contradiction to  $a_1 = 0$ .

From the above counting, we have

$$|\Gamma_1(x_i) \setminus \Delta|a_2 \leq |\Gamma_1(x_{i+1}) \setminus \Delta|a_2 \tag{4.7}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ . Eliminating  $a_2$  from (4.7), we find

$$|\Gamma_1(x_i) \setminus \Delta| \leq |\Gamma_1(x_{i+1}) \setminus \Delta|, \tag{4.8}$$

or equivalently

$$|\Gamma_1(x_i) \cap \Delta| \geq |\Gamma_1(x_{i+1}) \cap \Delta| \tag{4.9}$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ . We already know that  $|\Gamma_1(x_0) \cap \Delta| = |\Gamma_1(x_3) \cap \Delta| = a_3 + c_3$ . Hence (4.6) follows from (4.9).  $\square$



**Remark 4.7.** The 4-bounded property seems to be much harder to prove. We expect the 3-bounded property to be enough for classifying all the distance-regular graphs with classical parameters,  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_2 \neq 0$ .

## References

- [1] E. Bannai, T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, Benjamin/Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer, A.M. Cohen, A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] A.E. Brouwer, H.A. Wilbrink, The structure of near polygons with quads, *Geometriae Dedicata* 14 (1883) 145–176.
- [4] A. Hiraki, Strongly closed subgraphs in a regular thick near polygon, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 20 (8) (1999) 789–796.
- [5] A.A. Ivanov, S.V. Shpectorov, Characterization of the association schemes of Hermitian forms over  $GF(2^2)$ , *Geometriae Dedicata* 30 (1989) 23–33.
- [6] Y. Pan, M. Lu, C. Weng, Triangle-free distance-regular graphs, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* (in press). <http://www.springerlink.com/content/11180j3068130238/>.
- [7] E.E. Shult, A. Yanushka, Near  $n$ -gons and line systems, *Geometriae Dedicata* 9 (1980) 1–72.
- [8] H. Suzuki, On strongly closed subgraphs of highly regular graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 16 (1995) 197–220.
- [9] H. Suzuki, Strongly closed subgraphs of a distance-regular graph with geometric girth five, *Kyushu Journal of Mathematics* 50 (2) (1996) 371–384.
- [10] P. Terwilliger, The subconstituent algebra of an association scheme (part I), *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* 1 (1992) 363–388.
- [11] P. Terwilliger, A new inequality for distance-regular graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 137 (1995) 319–332.
- [12] C. Weng, Weak-geodetically closed subgraphs in distance-regular graphs, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 14 (1998) 275–304.
- [13] C. Weng,  $D$ -bounded distance-regular graphs, *European Journal of Combinatorics* 18 (1997) 211–229.
- [14] C. Weng, Classical distance-regular graphs of negative type, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 76 (1999) 93–116.